

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE <b>12 JAN 2010</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>CRS Issue Statement on Homeland Security Appropriations</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress, 101 Independence Ave., SE, Washington, DC, 20540-7500</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>3</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			



# CRS Issue Statement on Homeland Security Appropriations

**Jennifer E. Lake, Coordinator**  
Analyst in Domestic Security

**Chad C. Haddal, Coordinator**  
Analyst in Immigration Policy

January 12, 2010

Congressional Research Service

7-5700

[www.crs.gov](http://www.crs.gov)

IS40579

The terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, launched an intense and extended examination of the nation's homeland security apparatus. One of the outcomes of this examination was the establishment of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS, the Department). DHS was designed to house under one roof the agencies responsible for major elements of the nation's homeland security effort, including aviation security and the security of other modes of transportation, immigration control and enforcement, critical infrastructure protection, emergency preparedness and response, and science and technology research for homeland security. These missions continue to be of significant focus and interest to Congress. In recent sessions, Congress has grappled with a series of specific overarching issues that complicate the debates over the annual provision of appropriations for the Department.

One overarching issue confronting appropriators is determining the appropriate allocation of resources among the nation's critical, competing homeland security mission areas. Appropriators are challenged with the difficult task of providing sufficient resources to achieve a base level of security in a volatile threat and risk environment. Appropriators must annually assess the relative importance of competing mission areas and make determinations regarding which missions will provide the most effective returns on investment. They must also evaluate how these missions fit into a broader national homeland security strategy—both between and within agencies.

One element of the debates surrounding the creation of DHS was concern over the non-homeland security (or non-terrorist-related) functions that were being incorporated into the Department. Examples of these functions include the disaster relief mission of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), and the maritime safety and stewardship responsibilities of the U.S. Coast Guard. Whereas the USCG retains major homeland security mission sets, after Katrina, some have questioned whether FEMA should still remain within the department. The proper allocation of resources between homeland and non-homeland security functions within the Department will continue to be a focus. FEMA's position within the Executive Branch organization may also be an issue for Congress.

The current economic downturn will further challenge appropriators. Several DHS activities are at least partially funded through offsetting fee receipts. Many of these fees are derived from charges levied on the travel, trade, and immigration transactions that occur at the nation's borders. When travel and trade decline, user-fee revenue declines, and thus less revenue is available to offset the Department's appropriation. Congress faces the possibility of having to provide additional appropriations to the Department to cover the declining fee revenue. More fundamentally, questions can be raised about the degree to which inherently governmental security functions should be fee dependent. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service (USCIS), for example, is almost entirely fee funded.

The complexity of the structure of DHS, formed from several legacy and newly created agencies, further complicates the appropriators' responsibility to oversee the execution of the department's budget and evaluate its performance. This is especially difficult given the number of cross-component functions, such as intelligence, counternarcotics, and research and development activities. Funding and evaluation of these Department-wide functions will continue to be of significant concern to Congress.

## Issue Team Members

Jennifer E. Lake, Coordinator  
Analyst in Domestic Security  
jlake@crs.loc.gov, 7-0620

Chad C. Haddal, Coordinator  
Analyst in Immigration Policy  
chaddal@crs.loc.gov, 7-3701

Bart Elias  
Specialist in Aviation Policy  
belias@crs.loc.gov, 7-7771

John Frittelli  
Specialist in Transportation Policy  
jfrittelli@crs.loc.gov, 7-7033

William A. Kandel  
Analyst in Immigration Policy  
wkandel@crs.loc.gov, 7-4703

Lennard G. Kruger  
Specialist in Science and Technology Policy  
lkruger@crs.loc.gov, 7-7070

Bruce R. Lindsay  
Analyst in Emergency Management Policy  
blindsay@crs.loc.gov, 7-3752

Sarah A. Lister  
Specialist in Public Health and Epidemiology  
slister@crs.loc.gov, 7-7320

Francis X. McCarthy  
Analyst in Emergency Management Policy  
fmccarthy@crs.loc.gov, 7-9533

Daniel Morgan  
Specialist in Science and Technology Policy  
dmorgan@crs.loc.gov, 7-5849

John D. Moteff  
Specialist in Science and Technology Policy  
jmoteff@crs.loc.gov, 7-1435

Shawn Reese  
Analyst in Emergency Management and Homeland  
Security Policy  
sreese@crs.loc.gov, 7-0635

Barbara L. Schwemle  
Analyst in American National Government  
bschwemle@crs.loc.gov, 7-8655

Alison Siskin  
Specialist in Immigration Policy  
asiskin@crs.loc.gov, 7-0260